arXiv:1704.00463v1 [math.CV] 3 Apr 2017

DISCRIMINANTS OF A CLASS OF SELF-INVERSIVE POLYNOMIALS AND REAL BINARY FORMS

KEISUKE UCHIMURA

Abstract.

A class of self-inversive polynomials includes all the self-reciprocal polynomials. Let A denote the set of all self-reciprocal polynomials with n+1 coefficients. Let B denote the set of certain self-inversive and non self-reciprocal polynomials with n+1 coefficients for odd n. Let C denote the set of real binary n-ic forms. Then there exist a bijection between A and C and another bijection between B and C. Let f be a monic polynomial in A and g be the corresponding polynomial in C. If the reading coefficient of g is not zero, then the discriminant of g is expressed by the determinant of a matrix of type (n, n). Any element of the matrix is a polynomial in the coefficients of f with integer coefficients. The same holds for monic polynomials in B.

1. Introduction

1.1. Self-inversive polynomials.

A polynomial

$$P(z) = a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$$

is said to be a *self-inversive polynomial* of degree n if it satisfies $a_0 \neq 0$ and $P(z) = \mu P^*(z)$ where $\mid \mu \mid = 1$ and

$$P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\bar{z})} = \bar{a}_n z^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_n,$$

or equivalently,

$$a_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = \mu \bar{a}_{n-k} \quad \text{for every} \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \tag{1.1}$$

See e.g. Marden [7].

In particular, if $P(z) = P^*(z)$, $P(z)$ is called be *self-reciprocal*. In the literature [10] such a polynomial is called a self-inversive polynomial.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26C10, 30C10, 30C15; Secondary 37F45, 58K35.

Key words and phrases. Self-inversive polynomials. Real binary forms. Discriminants.

The zeros of a self-inversive polynomial are on or symmetric in the unit circle $C :\mid z \mid= 1$.

In 1922, Cohn [2] proved that a polynomial $P(z)$ has all of its zeros on C if and only if it is self-inversive and its derivative $P'(z)$ has all its zeros in the closed unit disk $\mid z \mid\leq 1$.

Chen [1] found another necessary and sufficient condition for which all zeros of a self-inversive polynomial lie on C.

1.2. **Real binary forms in terms of the complex variable.**

Zeeman [14] described a real binary cubic form

$$g_2(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3, \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R})$$

in terms of the complex variable $z = x + iy$ and showed that g_2 can be expressed uniquely as

$$g_2(x, y) = Re(\alpha z^3 + \beta z^2\bar{z}), \quad \alpha, \beta, \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Poston and Stewart [9] applied this method to the quartic forms. A real binary quartic form

$$g_3(x, y) = ax^4 + bx^3y + cx^3y^2 + dxy^3 + ey^4$$

can be expressed uniquely as

$$g_3(x, y) = Re(\alpha z^4 + \beta z^3\bar{z} + \gamma z^2\bar{z}^2), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In this paper we will study relation between real binary forms and certain self-inversive polynomials via the terms of the complex variable. Such self-inversive polynomials appear in several branches of mathematics.

1.3. **Monic self-inversive polynomials.**

Gongopadhyay and Parker [5] and Gongopadhyay, Parker and Parsad [6] classified the dynamical action in SU(p,q) using the coefficients of their characteristic polynomial. In the case $p+q = 4$, the characteristic polynomial is

$$\chi(X) = X^4 - \tau X^3 + \sigma X^2 - \bar{\tau}X + 1, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The locus where the resultant $R(\chi, \chi') = 0$ was studied by Poston and Stewart [9]. The locus was named the holy grail. The characteristic polynomial $\chi(X)$ is a monic self-reciprocal polynomial.

Uchimura [13] studied the dynamics of holomorphic endomorphisms $P^d_{A_3}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ which is related to a complex Lie algebra of type A_3. The set of the critical values of $P^d_{A_3}$ restricted to a real three-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^3$ was proved to be equal to the holy grail. Uchimura [13] studied the relation between real binary quartic forms and monic

self-reciprocal polynomials of degree four. In [12], monic self-inversive polynomials of degree three were studied.

Marden [7] stated that in a linear difference equation with constant coefficients, the requirement for a stable solution is that all the zeros of the characteristic polynomial lie in the unit circle. On the other hand, the map $P^d_{A_3}$ causes chaos in the region W_3 that corresponds to the case that all the zeros of its self-inversive polynomial lie on the unit circle.

Peterson and Sinclair [8] and Sinclair and Vaaler [11] studied monic self-reciprocal polynomials. Those polynomials have appeared in the study of random polynomials, random matrix theory and number theory.

1.4. **Summary of results.**

We consider complex binary forms expressed by

$$P(z,w) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \zeta_j z^{n+1-j} w^j.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the set of the self-inversive forms $P(z,w)$ satisfying that (n is odd and $\mu = 1$) or (n is even and $\mu = -1$) , where μ is the constant in (1.1). That is,

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{P(z,w) : \zeta_j = (-1)^{n+1}\overline{\zeta}_{n+1-j}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_n$ denote the set of the self-inversive forms $P(z,w)$ satisfying that (n is odd and $\mu = -1$) or (n is even and $\mu = 1$) . That is,

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \{P(z,w) : \zeta_j = (-1)^{n}\overline{\zeta}_{n+1-j}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1\}.$$

We also consider real binary forms

$$g(X,Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_j X^{n+1-j} Y^j, \quad a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ denote the set of the real binary forms $g(X,Y)$.

We will show that there exist an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_n$ to $\mathcal{F}_n$ and another isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_n$ to $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Let $\mathcal{MA}_n$ denote the set of monic self-reciprocal forms in $\mathcal{A}_n$ satisfying $\zeta_0 = 1$. Let $\mathcal{MF}_n$ denote the set of the corresponding forms in $\mathcal{F}_n$. We will show that for any form $g(X,Y)$ in $\mathcal{MF}_n$ satisfying $a_0 \neq 0$, the discriminant of the polynomial $g(X,1)$ is expressed by the determinant of a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix. Any element of the matrix is a polynomial in $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n$ with integer coefficients. The matrix is a slightly modified version of the matrix introduced by [3]. Their

matrices are related to generalized Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind in several complex variables.

Let $\mathcal{MB}_n$ denote the set of monic self-reciprocal forms in $\mathcal{B}_n$ for even n. The same result holds for $\mathcal{MB}_n$.

2. Results and proofs

2.1. The spaces $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$.

We consider self-inversive forms in the form

$$f_n(T,U) = \zeta_0 T^{n+1} - \zeta_1 T^n U + \zeta_2 T^{n-1} U^2 + \cdots + (-1)^n \zeta_n T U^n + (-1)^{n+1} \zeta_{n+1} U^{n+1}. \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta_k = \bar{\zeta}_{n+1-k}, \quad k = 0, \cdots, n+1. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the space of these self-inversive forms. Note that if n is odd, then $f_n(T,U)$ is self-reciprocal and that if n is even, then $f_n(T,-U)$ is self-reciprocal. The space $\mathcal{A}_n$ is related to a complex Lie algebra of type A_n . See [12] and [13].

We also consider binary forms in the forms

$$g_n(X,Y) = a_0 X^{n+1} + a_1 X^n Y + \cdots + a_n X Y^n + a_{n+1} Y^{n+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ denote the space of these forms. We do not assume that $a_0 \neq 0$. We show that $\mathcal{A}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists an isomorphism Φ_n from $\mathcal{A}_n$ onto $\mathcal{F}_n$.*

We define the map Φ_n as follows. Clearly $\overline{f_n(T, -\overline{T})} = f_n(T, -\overline{T})$. Then we set

$$T = i(X + iY) \quad \text{and} \quad U = i(X - iY). \tag{2.4}$$

Hence $f_n(i(X+iY), i(X-iY))$ is a polynomial in variables X and Y with real coefficients. So $f_n(i(X+iY), i(X-iY))$ is written as $g_n(X,Y)$ in (2.3). The image of a self-inversive form (2.1) under the map Φ_n is the binary form $g_n(X,Y)$. The coefficients a_k are given by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *(1) If n is even, then*

$$a_{2h+1} = (-1)^{n/2+h+1} \binom{n+1}{2h+1} (\zeta_0 + \zeta_{n+1})$$

$$+ (-1)^{n/2+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \left[(-1)^k \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{h} (-1)^j \binom{k}{h-j} \binom{n+1-2k}{2j+1} \right\} (\zeta_k + \zeta_{n+1-k}) \right],$$

and

$$a_{2h} = (-1)^{n/2+h}\binom{n+1}{2h}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_{n+1})\sqrt{-1}$$

$$+(-1)^{n/2}\sum_{k=1}^{n/2}\left[(-1)^k\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^j\binom{k}{h-j}\binom{n+1-2k}{2j}\right\}(\zeta_k - \zeta_{n+1-k})\sqrt{-1}\right],$$

where $h = 0, 1, \ldots, n/2$.

(2) If n is odd, then

$$a_{2h+1} = (-1)^{(n+1)/2+h}\binom{n+1}{2h+1}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_{n+1})\sqrt{-1}$$

$$+(-1)^{(n+1)/2}\sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2}\left[(-1)^k\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^j\binom{k}{h-j}\binom{n+1-2k}{2j+1}\right\}(\zeta_k - \zeta_{n+1-k})\sqrt{-1}\right],$$

where $h = 0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)/2$,

and

$$a_{2h} = (-1)^{(n+1)/2+h}\binom{n+1}{2h}(\zeta_0 + \zeta_{n+1}) + \binom{(n+1)/2}{h}\zeta_{(n+1)/2}$$

$$+(-1)^{(n+1)/2}\sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2}\left[(-1)^k\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^j\binom{k}{h-j}\binom{n+1-2k}{2j}\right\}(\zeta_k + \zeta_{n+1-k})\right],$$

where $h = 0, 1, \ldots, (n+1)/2$.

Here we use conventions

$$\binom{k}{0} = 1, \ \textit{and} \ \ \binom{k}{j} = 0, \ \textit{if} \ \ j > k \geq 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Case 1 : n is even.
We consider pairs of terms of $f_n(i(X+iY), i(X+iY))$. Set

$$Q_k := i^{n+1}(-1)^k(X^2+Y^2)^k\{\zeta_k(X+iY)^{n+1-2k} - \zeta_{n+1-k}(X-iY)^{n+1-2k}\},$$
$$k = 1, \ldots, [n/2].$$

Easy calculations reveal that the coefficient of $X^{n-2h}Y^{2h+1}$ in Q_k is

$$(-1)^{n/2+k+1}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^j\binom{k}{h-j}\binom{n+1-2k}{2j+1}\right\}(\zeta_k + \zeta_{n+1-k})$$

and the coefficient of $X^{n+1-2h}Y^{2h}$ in Q_k is

$$(-1)^{n/2+k}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^j\binom{k}{h-j}\binom{n+1-2k}{2j}\right\}(\zeta_k - \zeta_{n+1-k})\sqrt{-1}.$$

To get a_{2h+1} and a_{2h}, we sum the coefficients of $X^{n-2h}Y^{2h+1}$ and $X^{n+1-2h}Y^{2h}$ in Q_k over $k = 1, \ldots, n/2$ and those coefficients of the pair of terms $\zeta_0(X+iY)^{n+1} - \zeta_{n+1}(X-iY)^{n+1}$, respectively.
Case 2 : n is odd. By the similar method, we can prove the assertion. □

Conversely we consider the inverse of the map Φ_n. From (2.4), we have

$$X = -\frac{i}{2}(T+U) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = -\frac{1}{2}(T-U). \tag{2.5}$$

Then we need to show

$$g_n(-\frac{i}{2}(T+U), -\frac{1}{2}(T-U)) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

We set

$$f(T,U) := g_n(-\frac{i}{2}(T+U), -\frac{1}{2}(T-U)).$$

Then it suffices to prove the equality

$$f(T,U) = (-1)^{n+1}\hat{f}(U,T), \tag{2.6}$$

where, for a form

$$f(T,U) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \zeta_j T^{n+1-j}U^j,$$

we define the form $\hat{f}(T,U)$ by

$$\hat{f}(T,U) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \bar{\zeta}_j T^{n+1-j}U^j.$$

To prove this we note that

$$f(U,T) = a_0(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^{n+1} + a_1(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^n(-\frac{1}{2}(U-T)) + \cdots + a_{n+1}(-\frac{1}{2}(U-T))^{n+1}$$
$$= a_0(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^{n+1} - a_1(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^n(-\frac{1}{2}(T-U)) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1}a_{n+1}(-\frac{1}{2}(T-U))^{n+1}.$$

$$\hat{f}(U,T) = (-1)^{n+1}a_0(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1}a_1(-\frac{i}{2}(U+T))^n(-\frac{1}{2}(T-U))$$
$$+ \cdots + (-1)^{n+1}a_{n+1}(-\frac{1}{2}(T-U))^{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1}f(T,U).$$

Then we have (2.6) and so Φ_n is a bijection. Since Φ_n is a linear map, we have

$$\Phi_n(f_n + f_n') = \Phi_n(f_n) + \Phi_n(f_n'), \text{ where } f_n, f_n' \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Hence Φ_n is an isomorphism.

Next we give an alternative proof of Proposition 2.1. This is useful in the sections below.

Set $Z = X + iY$. Let

$$f_n(Z) := f_n(iZ, i\overline{Z}) = f_n(i(X+iY), i(X-iY)).$$

Then $f_n(Z)$ is written as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *If n is even, then*

$$f_n(Z) = 2Re\ \ i^{n+1}(\zeta_0 Z^{n+1} - \zeta_1 Z^n\overline{Z} + \cdots + (-1)^{n/2}\zeta_{n/2}Z^{(n+2)/2}\overline{Z}^{n/2}). \tag{2.7}$$

If n is odd, then

$$f_n(Z) = 2Re\ \ i^{n+1}(\zeta_0 Z^{n+1} - \zeta_1 Z^n\overline{Z} + \cdots + (-1)^{(n-1)/2}\zeta_{(n-1)/2}Z^{(n+3)/2}\overline{Z}^{(n-1)/2} + \frac{(-1)^{(n+1)/2}}{2}\zeta_{(n+1)/2}(Z\overline{Z})^{(n+1)/2}).$$

Proof. Case 1 : n is even. Set

$$F(T, -\overline{T}) = \zeta_0 T^{n+1} + \zeta_1 T^n\overline{T} + \cdots + \zeta_{n/2}T^{(n+2)/2}\overline{T}^{n/2}.$$

Then

$$f_n(T, -\overline{T}) = F(T, -\overline{T}) + \overline{F(T, -\overline{T})} = 2Re\ \ F(T, -\overline{T}).$$

Setting $T = iZ$, we have (2.7).

Case 2 : n is odd. The proof is similar. □

Let

$$w_j := Z^{n+1-i}\overline{Z}^j, \quad w_j \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y], \quad j = 0, \ldots, n+1.$$

We regard w_j as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$.

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \{w_j(X, Y) : j = 0, \ldots, n+1\}.$$

Probably, the following lemma may have been known. But, here we give a proof of it.

Lemma 2.4. *(1) The family $\mathcal{S}_1$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$.*
(2) We define real polynomials $R_j(X, Y)$ and $I_j(X, Y)$ by

$$w_j(X, Y) = Z^{n+1-i}\overline{Z}^j = R_j(X, Y) + iI_j(X, Y), \quad R_j(X, Y), I_j(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y].$$

(a) If n is even, then

$$R_j = R_{n+1-j}, \quad I_j = -I_{n+1-j}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n/2.$$

If n is odd, then

$$R_j = R_{n+1-j}, \quad I_j = -I_{n+1-j}, \quad j = 0, \dots, (n-1)/2,$$
$$I_{(n+1)/2} = 0.$$

(b) Set

$$\mathcal{S}_2 := \begin{cases} \{R_0, I_0, \dots, R_{n/2}, I_{n/2}\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{R_0, I_0, \dots, R_{(n-1)/2}, I_{(n-1)/2}, R_{(n+1)/2}\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the family $\mathcal{S}_2$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. (1) We define a Hermitian product on $\mathcal{S}_1$ by

$$< w_j, w_k >= \frac{n+2}{\pi} \int\limits_{|X^2+Y^2|\leq 1} w_j \overline{w}_k dX dY.$$

Then elements $w_j(X, Y)$ are orthogonal on the unit disk. Hence the family $\mathcal{S}_1$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$.
(2) The assertion (a) is trivial.
(b) Case 1 : n is even. Note that

$$R_j = \frac{1}{2}(w_j + w_{n+1-j}), \quad I_j = \frac{1}{2i}(w_j - w_{n+1-j}), \quad j = 0, \dots, n/2.$$

Since the family $\{w_j\}$ is linearly independent, the family $\mathcal{S}_2$ is linearly independent.
Case 2 : n is odd. By the similar method we can prove the assertion (b). □

We recall $f_n(T, U)$ and the definition of the map Φ_n.

$$f_n(T, U) = \zeta_0 T^{n+1} + (-1)\zeta_1 T^n U + \cdots + (-1)^n \zeta_n T U^n + (-1)^{n+1} \zeta_{n+1} U^{n+1}.$$

Substituting

$$T = i(X + iY) \quad \text{and} \quad U = i(X - iY).$$

in $f_n(T, U)$ we obtain a real polynomial

$$g_n(X, Y) = a_0 X^{n+1} + a_1 X^n Y + \cdots + a_n X Y^n + a_{n+1} Y^{n+1}.$$

Let

$$\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j, \ \ \xi_i, \eta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots [(n+1)/2].$$

Note that if n is odd, then $\eta_{(n+1)/2} = 0$.

We denote by v_1 the transpose of the row vector $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$. We denote by v_2 the transpose of the row vector $(\xi_0, \eta_0, \xi_1, \eta_1, \dots, \xi_{n/2}, \eta_{n/2})$ if n is even, or the row vector $(\xi_0, \eta_0, , \dots, \xi_{(n-1)/2}, \eta_{(n-1)/2}, \xi_{(n+1)/2})$ if n is odd.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists an invertible matrix M satisfying*

$$v_1 = Mv_2.$$

Proof. Case 1 : n is even. From Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(i(X+iY), i(X-iY)) &= 2(-1)^{n/2+1}\{(\xi_0 I_0 + \eta_0 R_0) + \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n/2}(\xi_{n/2} I_{n/2} + \eta_{n/2} R_{n/2})\}. \\ &= a_0 X^{n+1} + a_1 X^n Y + \cdots + a_{n+1} Y^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by V the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by homogeneous polynomials $X^{n+1}, X^nY, \dots$. and Y^{n+1}. We see from Lemma 2.4 2(b) that $\{R_0, I_0, \dots, R_{n/2}, I_{n/2}\}$ is another base of V. Then there exists an invertible matrix M satisfying

$$v_1 = Mv_2.$$

Case 2 : n is odd. The proof is similar. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. From Lemma 2.5, we conclude that the map Φ_n is bijective. □

Next we consider the relation between the roots of $f_n(T,U)$ and those of the corresponding form $g_n(X,Y)$ under Φ_n. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(T,U) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(u_j T - t_j U), \\ g_n(X,Y) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(y_j X - x_j Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We recall (2.5) :

$$X = -\frac{i}{2}(T+U) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = -\frac{1}{2}(T-U).$$

We define a map φ from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\varphi(T:U) := (X:Y) = (-\frac{i}{2}(T+U) : -\frac{1}{2}(T-U)).$$

Then with a suitable numbering

$$\varphi(t_j : u_j) = (x_j : y_j) = (i(t_j + u_j) : t_j - u_j).$$

The map φ is a Möbius transformation on $\mathbb{P}^1$.
Clearly $y_j = 0$ if and only if $t_j = u_j$.
If $y_j \neq 0$, we may set $y_j = u_j = 1$. Then if $t_j \neq 1$,

$$x_j = \sqrt{-1}\frac{t_j + 1}{t_j - 1}. \tag{2.9}$$

If $y_j = 0$, then $\varphi^{-1}(1:0) = (1:1)$.
The point $(1:0)$ is the point at infinity and the point $(1:1)$ lies on the unit circle C. The real axis of $\mathbb{P}^1$ maps into the unit circle under the Möbius transformation φ^{-1}. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *We suppose* $y_j = u_j = 1$ *and* $t_j \neq 1$.
(1) x_j *is real if and only if* $\mid t_j \mid = 1$.
(2) $x_j, x_{j+1} \notin \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\overline{x_j} = x_{j+1}$
if and only if $t_j = re^{i\theta}$ *and* $t_{j+1} = \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta}$ *with* $r \neq 1$.

The pair $(t_j : 1)$ and $(t_{j+1} : 1)$ is said to be *symmetric in the unit circle* C if it satisfies that $t_j = re^{i\theta}$ and $t_{j+1} = \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta}$ with $r \neq 1$.

2.2. **The spaces $\mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$.**

In this section we will give an isomorphism Ψ_n from the space $\mathcal{B}_n$ onto $\mathcal{F}_n$. We divide $\mathcal{B}_n$ into two spaces $\mathcal{B}(e,1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(o,-1)$. Let $\mathcal{B}(e,1)$ denote the space of the self-reciprocal forms :

$$p_n(T,U) = \zeta_0 T^{n+1} + \zeta_1 T^n U + \zeta_2 T^{n-1} U^2 + \cdots + \zeta_n T U^n + \zeta_{n+1} U^{n+1}, \quad (2.10)$$

where n is even and

$$\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta_k = \bar{\zeta}_{n+1-k}, \quad k = 0, \cdots, n+1.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(o,-1)$ denote the space of the self-inversive forms :

$$p_n(T,U) = \zeta_0 T^{n+1} + \zeta_1 T^n U + \zeta_2 T^{n-1} U^2 + \cdots + \zeta_n T U^n + \zeta_{n+1} U^{n+1},$$

where n is odd and

$$\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta_k = -\bar{\zeta}_{n+1-k}, \quad k = 0, \cdots, n+1.$$

Since $\zeta_{(n+1)/2} = -\bar{\zeta}_{(n+1)/2}$, we may set

$$\zeta_{(n+1)/2} = i\tau_{(n+1)/2}, \ \ \tau_{(n+1)/2} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the first place we study the space $\mathcal{B}(e,1)$. Clearly

$$p_n(T, \overline{T}) = \overline{p_n(T, \overline{T})}.$$

Then we set

$$T = X + iY \quad \text{and} \quad U = X - iY. \quad (2.11)$$

We denote the real polynomial $p_n(X+iY, X-iY)$ by

$$q_n(X,Y) = b_0 X^{n+1} + b_1 X^n Y + \cdots + b_n X Y^n + b_{n+1} Y^{n+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We define the map Ψ_n by $\Psi_n(p_n) = q_n$. Set $Z = X + iY$. Then as in Lemma 2.3 we have

$$p_n(Z) = 2Re \ (\zeta_0 Z^{n+1} + \zeta_1 Z^n \overline{Z} + \cdots + \zeta_{n/2} Z^{(n+1)/2} \overline{Z}^{n/2}),$$

Next we consider the space $\mathcal{B}(o,-1)$. Set $\epsilon = e^{\pi i/(2(n+1))}$. Clearly

$$p_n(\epsilon Z, \epsilon\overline{Z}) = \overline{p_n(\epsilon T, \epsilon\overline{Z})}.$$

Then we set

$$T = \epsilon(X+iY) \quad \text{and} \quad U = \epsilon(X-iY). \tag{2.12}$$

We denote the real polynomial $p_n(\epsilon(X+iY), \epsilon(X-iY))$ by

$$q_n(X,Y) = b_0X^{n+1} + b_1X^nY + \cdots + b_nXY^n + b_{n+1}Y^{n+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We define the map Ψ_n by $\Psi_n(p_n) = q_n$. Set $Z = X + iY$. Then

$$p_n(Z) = -2Im\ (\zeta_0 Z^{n+1} + \zeta_1 Z^n\overline{Z} + \ldots + \zeta_{(n-1)/2} Z^{(n+3)/2}\overline{Z}^{(n-1)/2}) - \tau_{(n+1)/2}(Z\overline{Z})^{(n+1)/2}.$$

Hence in both cases, by the same proof as the alternative proof of Proposition 2.1, we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *There exists an isomorphism Ψ_n from $\mathcal{B}_n$ onto $\mathcal{F}_n$.*

Next we consider the relation between the roots of $p_n(T,U)$ and those of the corresponding form $q_n(X,Y)$ under Ψ_n. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(T,U) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(u'_jT - t'_jU), \\ q_n(X,Y) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(y'_jX - x'_jY). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By (2.11) and (2.12), in both cases we may define a map ψ from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\psi(t'_j : u'_j) = (i(t'_j + u'_j) : t'_j - u'_j).$$

We assume that $y'_j = u'_j = 1$ and $t'_j \neq 1$. Then

$$x'_j = \sqrt{-1}\frac{t'_j + 1}{t'_j - 1}. \tag{2.14}$$

This is the same as that in (2.9).

2.3. **Discriminants of monic self-inversive polynomials in $\mathcal{A}_n$.**

We consider monic self-inversive polynomials in $\mathcal{A}_n$ and their discriminant. Let $\mathcal{MA}_n$ denote self-inversive forms that are written in (2.1) satisfying $\zeta_0 = \zeta_{n+1} = 1$. The image of $\mathcal{MA}_n$ under Φ_n is denoted by $\mathcal{MF}_n$. Any element of $\mathcal{MF}_n$ is written as

$$\tilde{g}_n(X,Y) = c_0X^{n+1} + c_1X^nY + \cdots + c_nXY^n + c_{n+1}Y^{n+1}, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1. \tag{2.15}$$

In general, the general linear group $GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acts on the space of binary forms $\mathcal{F}_n$ via linear substitution :

$$(X,Y) \to (X,Y)M = (\alpha X + \gamma Y, \beta X + \delta Y),$$

$$\text{where} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{that is ,} \quad M \ \textit{maps}$$

$$g(X,Y) \to g^M(X,Y) = g(\alpha X + \gamma Y, \beta X + \delta Y).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose an element g_n in $\mathcal{F}_n$ satisfies that $\Phi_n^{-1}(g_n)$ is written as*

$$\zeta_0 T^{n+1} - \zeta_1 T^n U + \zeta_2 T^{n-1} U^2 + \cdots + (-1)^n \zeta_n T U^n + (-1)^{n+1} \zeta_{n+1} U^{n+1},$$

with $\zeta_0 \neq 0$.
Then there exists a matrix M in $GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$g_n^M \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}_n.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$g_n(X,Y) = 2Re \ \ i^{n+1}(\zeta_0 Z^{n+1} - \zeta_1 Z^n \overline{Z} + \cdots + (-1)^{n/2} \zeta_{n/2} Z^{(n+1)/2} \overline{Z}^{n/2}).$$

Let

$$\zeta_0 = (re^{i\theta})^{n+1}, \quad r > 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi.$$

To replace $\zeta_0 Z^{n+1}$ by $(Z')^{n+1}$, we set

$$\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix},$$

where $Z = X + iY$, $Z' = X' + iY'$. Then we have new coefficients $\zeta_0' = 1, \zeta_1', \ldots, \zeta_{n/2}'$. Hence by (2.2) we get new coefficients $\zeta_0', \zeta_1', \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}'$. The same folds when n is odd. □

Note that this transformation is not unique.

Next we define the discriminant of $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$ in (2.15). To define the discriminant of $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$ we assume that $c_0 \neq 0$. We define the discriminant by

$$Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X,1)) = c_0^{2n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (x_j - x_k)^2, \tag{2.16}$$

where $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$. Though Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [4] defined $Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X,1))$ to be $(-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ times the right-hand side of (2.16), we adopt the definition in (2.16). In our definition, if all the roots of $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$ are real, then we have $Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X,1)) \geq 0$. This is convenient to Corollary 2.10 below.

In this section, we consider monic self-inversive polynomials in $\mathcal{A}_n$. We denote the image of $\tilde{g}_n(X,Y)$ in (2.15) under the map Φ_n^{-1} by

$$\tilde{f}_n(T,U) = T^{n+1} - \zeta_1 T^n U + \zeta_2 T^{n-1}U^2 + \cdots + (-1)^n \zeta_n T U^n + (-1)^{n+1} U^{n+1}. \tag{2.17}$$

We consider the roots of $\tilde{f}_n$ and $\tilde{g}_n$. We use the notation in (2.8). In this case we may set $u_j = y_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n+1$. Hence $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$ and $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{f}_n(T,1)$.

We will define a $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix H_n that is a slightly modified version of the matrix introduced by Eier and Lidl [3]. Let $t_1, 1 \le j \le n+1$, be elements in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $t_1 t_2 \ldots t_{n+1} = 1$. The coefficient ζ_j is the j-th elementary symmetric function in $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}$. We define the m-th power $h_m (m \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$h_m(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j^m.$$

Since $t_1 t_2 \ldots t_{n+1} = 1$, we may regard h_m as a polynomial in $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n$ even when $m < 0$.

We define a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix H_n by

$$H_n := \begin{pmatrix} h_0 & h_{-1} & \ldots & h_{-n} \\ h_1 & h_0 & \ldots & h_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_n & h_{n-1} & \ldots & h_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In our case

$$\zeta_j = \overline{\zeta}_{n+1-j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Eier and Lidl [3] considered only the case that any t_j lies on the unit circle C.

The determinant $det\ H_n$ is a polynomials in $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n$. The relation between the discriminant $Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X,1))$ in (2.16) and the determinant $det\ H_n$ is shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *Under the above notations we have*

$$Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X,1)) = 2^{n(n+1)} det\ H_n.$$

Proof. Case 1 : n is even. Using the formula for the Vandermonde matrix we see

$$det\ H_n = \begin{vmatrix} 1/t_1^n & 1/t_2^n & \ldots & 1/t_{n+1}^n \\ 1/t_1^{n-1} & 1/t_2^{n-1} & \ldots & 1/t_{n+1}^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} t_1^n & t_1^{n-1} & \ldots & 1 \\ t_2^n & t_2^{n-1} & \ldots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_{n+1}^n & t_{n+1}^{n-1} & \ldots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1} (\frac{1}{t_j} - \frac{1}{t_k}) \times \prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1} (t_j - t_k)$$

$$= (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1} (t_j - t_k)^2 \times \frac{1}{(t_1 t_2 \dots t_{n+1})^n}$$

$$= (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1} (t_j - t_k)^2.$$

On the other hand by (2.16),

$$Dis(\tilde{g}_n) = c_0^{2n} \times \prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1} (x_j - x_k)^2.$$

From (2.9) it follows that

$$x_j - x_k = \frac{2i(t_k - t_j)}{(t_j - 1)(t_k - 1)}.$$

Hence

$$Dis(\tilde{g}_n) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} c_0^{2n} \times 2^{n(n+1)} \times \prod_{j<k} (t_j - t_k)^2 / \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (1 - t_j)^{2n}. \quad (2.18)$$

Clearly

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (1 - t_j) = \tilde{f}_n(1, 1).$$

Replacing $(T, U) = (1, 1)$ by (X, Y) under the transformation (2.5), we see that $X = -i$ and $Y = 0$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{f}_n(1, 1) = c_0(-i)^{n+1}. \quad (2.19)$$

Substituting this into (2.18), we have

$$Dis(\tilde{g}_n) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{j<k} (t_j - t_k)^2 \times 2^{n(n+1)} / i^{2n(n+1)} = 2^{n(n+1)} det H_n.$$

Case 2 : n is odd. The proof is similar. □

Since $\tilde{g}_n(X, 1)$ is a real polynomial, its discriminant $Dis(\tilde{g}_n(X, 1))$ is real. Thus $det\ H_n$ is also real. We may regard the space

$$R_n := \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) : \zeta_j = \overline{\zeta}_{n+1-j},\ \ j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

as the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. The set $\{det\ H_n = 0\}$ may be seen as a hypersurface in R_n. We define a subset W_n of R_n by

$$W_n = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) : \zeta_j \ \text{ is the j-th elementary symmetric function in}$$
$$e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{n+1}},\ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \ \text{ and } \ \theta_j \neq \theta_k \ \text{ for } \ j \neq k\}.$$

Any element of W_n corresponds to the $\tilde{f}_n(T,1)$ all the roots of which are on the unit circle C and distinct.

We consider the n-simplex S_n defined by

$$S_n := \{(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n,\theta_{n+1}) : \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\theta_j = 0,$$

$$\theta_{n+1} \le \theta_1 \le \cdots \le \theta_n \le 2\pi + \theta_{n+1}\}.$$

We define a map ϕ from S_n to R_n by

$$\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2,\dots,\theta_{n+1}) = (\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n),$$

where ζ_j is the j-th elementary symmetric function in $e^{i\theta_1},\dots,e^{i\theta_{n+1}}$, $(j = 1,2,\dots,n)$. By [3], we see that ϕ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathrm{int}(S_n)$ onto W_n and ∂S_n is mapped into the set $\{det\ H_n = 0\}$.
Then the set W_n is a connected component in $R_n \setminus \{det\ H_n = 0\}$ that contains the origin $(\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \cdots = \zeta_n = 0)$.

We consider the case that $\tilde{f}_n(T,1)$ has exactly k roots on the unit circle C . Note that $n+1-k$ is even.

Corollary 2.10. *We assume that $\tilde{f}_n(T,1)$ has exactly k roots on C and that all the roots of $\tilde{f}_n(T,1)$ are distinct. Then*

$$sgn\ \ det\ \ H_n = (-1)^{(n+1-k)/2},$$

where sgn det H_n denotes the signature of det H_n.

Proof. The assumption implies that $\tilde{g}_n(X,1)$ has $(n+1-k)/2$ pairs of complex conjugate roots and k real roots . Then the corollary follows from (2.16) and Theorem 2.9. □

In theorem 2.9, we assume that $c_0 \neq 0$. Here we consider this condition. If $c_0 = 0$, the positive integer k is uniquely determined by the condition that $c_k \neq 0$ and $c_j = 0$ for $0 \le j < k$. We may consider $\tilde{g}_n(X,Y)/Y^k$. We study this case through the corresponding self- inversive forms. Let $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)$ be the corresponding self-inversive form of $\tilde{g}_n(X,Y)$ under Φ_n^{-1}. Then it is equivalent to consider $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)^k$. We will see that $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)^k \in \mathcal{MA}_{n-k}$ by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)$ is an element of $\mathcal{MA}_n$ and has a root $T = U$. Then $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)$ is an element of $\mathcal{MA}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. We may assume that

$$\tilde{f}_n(T,U) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(T - t_jU).$$

and $t_1t_2\dots t_{n+1}=1$. It is known e.g. in [7] that a polynomial whose roots are on or symmetric in the unit circle C is a self-inversive polynomial and that the converse is true. Hence all the roots of $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)$ are on or symmetric in C. Since $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)$ has a root $T=U$, we may assume $t_1=1$. Then the roots of $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)$ are on or symmetric in C and satisfy $t_2t_3\dots t_{n+1}=1$. So $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)$ is self-inversive and the coefficients of T^n and U^n are 1 and $(-1)^n$, respectively. Hence $\tilde{f}_n(T,U)/(T-U)\in\mathcal{MA}_{n-1}$. □

We note a remark. In the proof of Lemma 2.8, we replace ζ_0Z^{n+1} by $(Z')^{n+1}$ to make $\zeta_0=1$. If we use another replacement $(Z'')^{n+1}$ of ζ_0Z^{n+1}, then $Z''=\omega Z'$ where $\omega^{n+1}=1$. The determinant $det\ H_n$ does not change under this new replacement.

2.4. **Discriminants of monic self-reciprocal polynomials in $\mathcal{B}_n$.**

In the section we assume that n is even. We consider monic self-reciprocal polynomials in $\mathcal{B}_n$ and their discriminants. Let $\mathcal{MB}_n$ denote self-reciprocal forms that are written in (2.10) satisfying $\zeta_0=\zeta_{n+1}=1$. The image of $\mathcal{MB}_n$ under Ψ_n is denoted by $\mathcal{MF}'_n$. Any element of $\mathcal{MF}'_n$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}_n(X,Y)=d_0X^{n+1}+d_1X^nY+\cdots+d_nXY^n+d_{n+1}Y^{n+1},\\ d_j\in\mathbb{R},\quad j=0,1,\dots,n+1.\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

To define the discriminant of $\tilde{q}_n(X,1)$ we assume that $d_0\neq 0$. We define the discriminant by

$$Dis(\tilde{q}_n(X,1))=d_0^{2n}\prod_{1\le j<k\le n+1}(x'_j-x'_k)^2,\tag{2.21}$$

where $x'_1,x'_2,\dots,x'_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{q}_n(X,1)$.

We consider monic self-reciprocal polynomials in $\mathcal{B}_n$. We denote the image of $\tilde{q}_n(X,Y)$ in (2.20) under the map Ψ_n^{-1} by

$$\tilde{p}_n(T,U)=T^{n+1}+\zeta_1T^nU+\zeta_2T^{n-1}U^2+\cdots+\zeta_nTU^n+U^{n+1}.\tag{2.22}$$

We consider the roots of $\tilde{p}_n$ and $\tilde{q}_n$. We use the notation in (2.13). In this case we may set $u'_j=y'_j=1$ for $j=1,\dots,n+1$. Hence $x'_1,x'_2,\dots,x'_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{q}_n(X,1)$ and $t'_1,t'_2,\dots,t'_{n+1}$ are roots of $\tilde{p}_n(T,1)$.

The coefficient ζ_j is $(-1)^j$ times the j-th elementary symmetric function in $t'_1,\dots,t'_{n+1}$. Clearly $t'_1,\dots,t'_{n+1}=-1$. We define the m-th power $k_m(m\in\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$k_m(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n):=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}(t'_j)^m.$$

Clearly

$$k_m((-1)\zeta_1, (-1)^2\zeta_2, \ldots, (-1)^n\zeta_n) = h_m(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n), \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

We define a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix K_n by

$$K_n := \begin{pmatrix} k_0 & k_{-1} & \ldots & k_{-n} \\ k_1 & k_0 & \ldots & k_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_n & k_{n-1} & \ldots & k_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In our case

$$\zeta_j = \overline{\zeta}_{n+1-j}, \ \ j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Theorem 2.12. *Under the above notations we have*

$$Dis(\tilde{q}_n(X, 1)) = 2^{n(n+1)} det \ H_n.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 2.9. For any monic self-reciprocal polynomial $\tilde{p}_n$ in (2.22) , we have $\tilde{p}_n(1, 1) = d_0$, by (2.11) . This corresponds to $\tilde{f}_n(1, 1) = c_0(-i)^{n+1}$ in (2.19). Since n is even, it follows that $(t'_1, \ldots, t'_{n+1})^n = 1$.

Then we have

$$Dis(\tilde{q}_n) = 2^{n(n+1)} det \ K_n.$$

Clearly

$$-\tilde{p}_n(-T, U) = \tilde{f}_n(T, U).$$

Hence by (2.8) and (2.13) we have with a suitable numbering

$$t'_j = -t_j, \ \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1.$$

Then

$$(t'_j - t'_k)^2 = (t_j - t_k)^2.$$

Therefore by the proof of Theorem 2.9 we have

$$det \ H_n = det \ K_n.$$

□

References

1. W. Chen, *On the polynomials with all zeros on the unit circle* , J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **190** (1995), 714–724.
2. A. Cohn, *Über die Anzahl der Wurzeln einer algebraischen Gleichnung in einem Kreise,* Math. Z. **14** (1922), 110–148.
3. R. Eier and R. Lidl, *A class of orthogonal polynomials in k variables*, Math. Ann. **260** (1982), 93–99.
4. I.M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants,* Birkhäusen, Boston, 1994.

5. K. Gongopadhyay and J. R. Parker, *Reversible complex hyperbolic isometrics* , Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), 2728–2739.
6. K. Gongopadhyay, J. R. Parker and S. Parsad , *On the classifications of unitary matrices* , Osaka. J. Math. **52** (2015), 959–991.
7. M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials,* 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys 3, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I.,1966.
8. K. L. Petersen and C. D. Sinclair, *Conjugate reciprocal polynomials with all roots on the unit circle,* Canadian J. Math. **60** (2008), no.5, 1149–1167.
9. T. Poston and I. N. Stewart, *The cross-ratio foliation of binary quartic forms,* Geome. Dedicata, **27** (1988), 263–280.
10. T. Sheil-Small, *Complex Polynomials,* Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., **vol. 75**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
11. C. D. Sinclair and J. D. Vaaler, *Number theory and polynomials,* 312–321, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **352**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
12. K. Uchimura, *Generalized Chebyshev maps of* $\mathbf{C}^2$ *and their perturbations,* Osaka J. Math. **46** (2009), 995–1017.
13. K. Uchimura, *Holomorphic endomorphisms of* $\mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$ *related to a Lie algebra of type* A_3 *and catastrophe theory,* Kyoto J. Math. **57** (2017), 197–232.
14. E. C. Zeeman, *The umbilic bracelet and the double cusp catastrophe in Structural Stability, the Theory of Catastrophes and Applications in the Sciences,* Lecture Notes in Math., **525**, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1976.

Department of Mathematics, Tokai University, Hiratsuka, 259-1292, Japan

E-mail address: uchimura@tokai-u.jp.